Reply to Office Action dated 28 November 2006

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the final

Office Action dated 28 November 2006. Responsive to the rejections made in the

Official Action, Claims 1, 11, 21, and 31 have been amended to clarify the

Official Action, Claims 1, 11, 21, and 31 have been amended to clarify the

combination of elements which form the invention of the subject Patent

Application.

In the Official Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1-3, 8-10, 11-13, 18-

20, 21-23, 28-30, 31-33, and 38-40 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being

unpatentable over Ichikawa, U.S. Published Patent Application 2004/0119700, in

view of Moriya, et al., U.S. Published Patent Application 2003/0006975, and

Westerman, et al., U.S. Published Patent Application 2005/0104867.

Additionally, the Examiner acknowledged allowance of Claims 4-6, 14-16, 24-26

and 34-36.

Before discussing the prior art relied upon, it is believed beneficial to first

briefly review the structure of the invention of the subject Patent Application, as

now claimed, using Claim 1 as a representative structure. The invention of the

subject Patent Application is directed to a capacitive touchpad integrated with key

and handwriting functions. The touchpad includes a panel for capacitive touch

input. The touchpad also includes a first pattern on a defined area of the panel

representing a mode switch for selectively switching the touchpad between a key

entry mode and a handwriting entry mode. The touchpad includes a plurality of

Page 13 of 18

Serial Number: 10/668.352

Reply to Office Action dated 28 November 2006

regions selectively defined on the panel responsive to the entry mode selected by

actuation of the mode switch. Still further, the capacitive touchpad includes a

plurality of second patterns selectively defined on the plurality of regions

responsive to the selected entry mode for operation in the key and handwriting

responsive to the selected entry mode for operation in the ney and manavironig

entry modes.

In contradistinction, the Ichikawa reference is directed to a touch sensitive

input system in an apparatus such as a mobile telephone or PDA. The system

includes a touchpad which receives information from a depression or force applied

thereto (paragraph 20) and in particular, determines not only the position at which

the force is applied to the touchpad, but also is adapted to determine how large of

a force is being applied (paragraph 21) Because the application of excessive force

is detrimental to the accurate determination of the input, due to non-linearities, the

disclosed system detects the application of excessive forces and provides a

warning display to a user indicating the location where excessive force has been

applied (paragraph 35).

Thus, this reference discloses an input system which is pressure sensitive

and clearly not a capacitive touchpad. The touchpad is sensitive to depression of

an upper surface thereof (paragraph 27), and not responsive to receiving a signal

from a user capacitively through a dielectric. Thus, ther is no disclosure or

suggestion of a panel for capacitive touch input, as now claimed.

Page 14 of 18

Serial Number: 10/668,352

Reply to Office Action dated 28 November 2006

Further, the reference is directed to a handwriting input device in which a

user, utilizing a stylus "draws characters or signs on the pad 18 with the stylus

17", paragraph 53. Nowhere does the reference disclose or suggest providing any

means for switching between a key entry mode and a handwriting entry mode, as

provided in the invention of the subject Patent Application. Thus, the reference

fails to disclose or suggest a first pattern on a defined area of the panel representing a mode switch for selectively switching the touchpad between a key

entry mode and a handwriting entry mode, as now claimed. Further, nowhere does

the reference disclose or suggest a mode switch which is able to select between a

mouse entry mode and a handwriting entry mode, or a key entry mode and a

mouse entry mode, as defined in Claims 31 and 21, respectively.

The Moriya, et al. reference does not overcome the deficiencies of

Ichikawa. The Moriya, et al. reference is directed to an input device for a personal

digital assistant wherein a moveable module 20, in the form of a stylus or finger

mounted element, transmits its position and orientation, with respect to a

predefined region, to a stationary module 10. The stylus may have one or more

manual pushbuttons which can be activated by a user, as well as a switch activated

by the application of pressure applied to the tip of the stylus (paragraph 46). The

stylus 20 is moved on a hard surface within the predefined region, which may

have an image of a keyboard 42 formed on the surface, either directly, or in the

form of a flexible overlay applied thereto. However, the panel or surface includes

Page 15 of 18

Serial Number: 10/668 352

Reply to Office Action dated 28 November 2006

no sensors and receives no input, as it is the circuitry within the stylus which

determines position and transmits such to the stationary unit for coupling to the

PDA.

Here again, the reference fails to disclose a panel for capacitive touch input,

as now claimed. Further, the reference while switchable from a handwriting input

to key entry input is apparently implemented by means of input to the PDA

directly, as different software is required to interpret the positioning of the stylus

for the entry of a particular key code corresponding to the location of the stylus.

The system requires initial training of the software to establish a lookup table to

define the corresponding positions with the (paragraphs 57 and 58). As the panel

is simply a passive element, the reference fails to disclose or suggest a first pattern

on a defined area of the panel representing a mode switch for selectively switching

the touch pad between a key entry mode and a handwriting entry mode, as now

claimed. Likewise, the reference fails to disclose any type of mode switch for

selectively switching between a key entry mode and a mouse entry mode or

between a mouse entry mode and a handwriting entry mode, as previously

discussed.

The Westerman, et al. reference does not overcome the deficiencies of

Ichikawa combined with Moriya, et al. The Westerman, et al. reference is directed

to a capacitive input panel capable of tracking multiple finger and palm contacts.

Sequential contact between a user's fingers and the panel are interpreted as a key

Page 16 of 18

Serial Number: 10/668 352

Reply to Office Action dated 28 November 2006

entry mode and the system responds identifying the key region nearest to the

location of each finger tap and forwards the key symbols or commands associated

therewith to the communication interface module 20 of the system. When a user

makes simultaneous contact with two or more fingers, a key region adjacent to the

multiple finger contacts is ignored and a chording motion recognizer produces

cord tap or motion events, which represent a mouse entry sequence. Still further,

when the user grips the stylus, a pen grip detection module detects the

when the user graps the styrms, a pen grap detection module detects the

configuration of the user's hands and the system enters a handwriting entry mode.

Thus, this system relies on detection of the configuration of the user's hand when

it contacts the panel, rather than having a specifically defined area on the panel

which is utilized to selectively switch the entry mode thereof. Therefore, the

reference fails to disclose a first pattern on a defined area of the panel representing

a mode switch for selectively switching the touch pad between key entry node and

a handwriting mode, or a key entry mode and a mouse entry mode, or a mouse

entry mode and a handwriting entry mode, as now claimed.

As none of Ichikawa, Moriya, et al., or Westerman, et al. disclose or

suggest a first pattern on a defined area of the panel which represents a mode

switch by which a user can selectively switch the touch pad between different

entry modes, they cannot, in combination, make obvious the concatenation of

elements which form the invention of the subject Patent Application, as now

claimed.

Page 17 of 18

Serial Number: 10/668 352

Reply to Office Action dated 28 November 2006

For all of the foregoing reasons, it is now believed that the subject Patent

Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is

respectively requested.

If there are any further charges associated with this filing, the Honorable

Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account #18-

2011 for such charges.

Respectively submitted.

For: ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE

/David I. Klein/

David I. Klein

Registration #33,253

Dated: 12 March 2007

Suite 101

3458 Ellicott Center Drive Ellicott City, MD 21043

(410) 465-6678

Customer No. 04586

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted electronically to the U.S.

Patent and Trademark Office, Art Unit # 2629, on the date shown below.

For: ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE

/David I. Klein/ DAVID I. KLEIN

03/12/2007 Date